

SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 16 March 2015

CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS 9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 27 MARCH 2015

20 March 2015

Public Business

- O Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ♦ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Coordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for Public Services – 17 March 2015

Report 4 Place Directorate Fees and Charges 2015/16 – Public Services Portfolio

Recommendation:

The Cabinet Member is asked to approve the increases to existing, and introduction of new fees and charges with effect from 1st April 2015, as detailed in this report.

Delete the above recommendation and insert the following:

The Cabinet Member is asked to:

- 1) Approve the increased /new fees and charges with effect from 1 April 2015 as outlined at the meeting with the exception of those marked 'PC' in Appendix 2
- 2) Request that Planning Committee at its meeting on 2 April 2015 considers and approves those increased/new fees and charges marked 'PC' in Appendix 2

The above recommendations were approved.

(Note: The updated version of Appendix 2 tabled at the meeting is attached to the back of this summary sheet)

Report 5 Petitions requesting a residents parking scheme in Cheylesmore West and Consideration of Objections to the Cheylesmore West Traffic Regulation Order 2015

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- i) Note the petitioners requests;
- ii) Endorse the requests in the various petitions from: The Hiron, Townsend Road, Townsend Croft, and Hiron Croft; to be included in the residents' parking scheme for Cheylesmore West.
- iii) Endorse the decision to partially accede to the request in the petition from Michaelmas Road for a permit parking only scheme by instead including within the draft TRO a shared use scheme which allows both permit parking and short stay parking for up to 30 minutes to allow for drop off and pick up at local schools in Michaelmas Road.
- iv) Consider the objections to the proposed traffic regulation order;
- v) Subject to recommendation (iv), approve that the residents parking scheme shown on the plan in appendix B; and that the hours of operation of the residents' parking scheme be from 08:00 to 18:00 hours Monday to Saturday.
- vi) That parking permits within the area be charged for at the Council's published scale of charges.

The above recommendations were approved, along with the following recommendation:

vii) Approve the installation of 'H' markings across the driveways on Michaelmas Road

Report 6 Petitions requesting a residents parking scheme in Cheylesmore East and consideration of Objections to the Cheylesmore East Traffic Regulation Order 2015

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- i) Note the petitioners requests;
- ii) Endorse the acceding to the requests in the various petitions from The Martyrs' Close, Hockett Street, Thomas Landsdail Street, Wrigsham Street, Joan Ward Street, Quinton Road, Franciscan Road and Carthusian Road; to be included in a residents' parking scheme for Cheylesmore East.
- iii) Endorse the decision to decline to accede to the request in the various petitions for a residents' parking scheme in Mile Lane, Glover Street, Lichfield Road, Queen Isabel's Avenue, and Purefoy Road due to the lack of a majority of properties in the street supporting such a scheme;
- iv) Consider the seven objections and letter of support to the proposed traffic regulation order;
- v) Subject to recommendation iv), approve that the residents parking scheme shown on the plan in appendix B be implemented but include the retention of the existing double yellow lines in Benedictine Road; and that the hours of operation of the residents parking scheme be from 08:00 to 18:00 hours Monday to Saturday.
- vi) That parking permits within the area be charged for at the Council's published scale of charges.

Report 7 Petitions requesting a residents' parking scheme in Earlsdon and consideration of Objections to the Earlsdon Traffic Regulation Order 2015

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- i) Note the petitioners' requests;
- ii) Endorse the request in the petition for Stanway Road; Belvedere Road; Huntingdon Road; Berkeley Road North; Mickleton Road; Mayfield Road; Broadway; and Earlsdon Avenue South; to be included in the residents parking scheme for Earlsdon.
- iii) Resolve to defer consideration of the petition from The Firs to enable further discussions between the school, residents, Ward Councillors and Officers about potential solutions.
- iv) Consider the objections to the proposed traffic regulation order;
- v) Subject to recommendation (iv), approve the TRO for the residents' parking scheme shown on the plan in appendix B with the exception of Morningside; and that the hours of operation of the residents' parking scheme be from 08:00 to 18:00 hours Monday to Saturday.
- vi) Subject to recommendation (iv), resolve to make an experimental traffic regulation order for Morningside for a residents' parking scheme to operate from 08:00 to 18:00 hours Monday to Friday.
- vii) That parking permits within the area be charged for at the Council's published scale of charges.

Report 8 Petition - Resurfacing of James Galloway Close

Recommendation:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to note, that James Galloway Close had been identified for repair and resurfacing in the coming financial year 2015/16. As such it is included as a site on the Transportation and Highway Maintenance Capital Programme which was approved by full cabinet on the 3rd March 2015 (subject to call in).

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 9 Petitions – Longford Road junctions with Oakmoor Road and Sydnall Road relating to congestion and road safety concerns

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- i) Note the concerns and requests of the petitioners;
- ii) Approve that a detailed and holistic traffic management study is undertaken on Longford Road as outlined in para. 2.3 of this report;
- iii) Approve that the results and recommended solution for Longford Road / Oakmoor Road junction be presented to Cabinet Member (Public Services) within six months.
- iv) Approve the measures to address the concerns at the Longford Road / Sydnall Road (canal bridge) junction as outlined in para. 2.4 of this report.

Report 10 Petitions - Parking on Grass Verge at Princethorpe Way

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- i) Note the issues raised by the residents. Approve Princethorpe Way, between 263 Princethorpe Way and Langbank Avenue, is added to the verge request list; which will be utilised to determine the 2015/16 verge scheme programme
- ii) Approve the advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order to install double yellow lines as shown in Appendix A as part of the next review of waiting restrictions.

Delete the above recommendations and insert:

Consideration of the report is deferred to allow for further consultation with all residents and an updated report is submitted to a future Cabinet Member meeting in June 2015.

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 11 Petition – Parkside resurfacing

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- 1. Note that Parkside from Short Street to the roundabout at Paradise Street/Puma Way will be resurfaced in the coming financial year as it is included in the 2015/16 Transportation and Highway Maintenance Capital Programme.
- 2. Approve that the remainder of the road continues to be held as a site on Coventry City's forward programme list and its condition be subject to on-going monitoring and be prioritised and scored against all other similar sites Citywide, and when a priority score is reached that the remainder of Parkside carriageway be included in any future maintenance treatment programmes, budget permitting.

Report 12 Petition – Footway Improvements to Leicester Causeway

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- Approve that Leicester Causeway footways be added to and held as a site on Coventry City's forward programme list and its condition be subject to on-going monitoring and be prioritised and scored against all other similar sites Citywide.
- Approve that when a priority score is reached that Leicester
 Causeway footways be included in any future maintenance treatment programmes, budget permitting.

The above recommendations were approved with the following additional recommendation:

3. Councillor A Khan to undertake a site visit with the petition organiser and report back any findings to the Cabinet Member

Report 13 Petition – Howes Primary School Crossing Patrol and Implementation of Changes to the School Crossing Patrol Service

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is recommended to:

- i) Note the petitioner's request.
- ii) To endorse the transitional arrangements for the School Crossing Patrol Service set out in section 1.3.3.
- iii) To request that the Assistant Director (Planning, Transport and Highways) in consultation with the Cabinet Member (Public Services) continues to work with Howes Primary School to facilitate an early resolution of the request contained in the petition.

Report 14 Petition – Hackney Carriage Vehicles; to consider licensing alternative vehicles, continue suspension of age policy and allow retests if over age policy

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member is recommended to;

- i) Note the petitioners' requests.
- ii) Note that at a meeting on the 23rd February 2015 the Cabinet Member resolved to; "Further extend the exemption for hackney carriages over ten years old to operate until the 31st January 2016, subject to the vehicle passing the inspection test and also allowing the opportunity for a re-test if appropriate"
- iii) Note that at a Licensing & Regulatory Committee meeting of the 20th January 2015 after considering two applications to license Peugeot E7's the Committee resolved that each application: "1..be approved subject to the Council's standard conditions being amended to (i) require the testing/inspection of the vehicle three times a year as part of the monitoring process; (ii) prevent the vehicle from using the ranks at Spon Street and Rover Road; (iii) require regular reporting to the Taxi Licensing Office on how the vehicle is operating. 2.That any further applications for a Peugeot E7 or any other type of vehicle currently not compliant with Coventry City Council policy be put on hold pending the completion of the trial and monitoring of this vehicle"

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 15 Outstanding Issues

Recommendation:

The Cabinet Member (Public Services) is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

-- Cabinet - 17 March 2015

Report 4 Better Care Coventry

Recommendations:

That Cabinet recommend to Council the approval of recommendations (1) to (4) below.

Council is recommended to:

- 1. Approve entering into a Partnership Agreement with Coventry and Rugby Clinical Commissioning Group for Better Care Coventry
- 2. Approve that the City Council is the host for the pooled budget
- 3. Delegate authority to the Executive Directors, People and Resources, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Health and Adult Services and Cabinet Member for Strategic Finance and Resources to finalise the agreement with Coventry and Rugby Clinical Commissioning Group
- 4. Approve the proposed governance arrangements for the monitoring of the agreement and the pooled budget

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 5 Outstanding Issues

Recommendations:

The Cabinet are recommended to consider the list of outstanding items as set out below and to ask the Member of the Management Board concerned to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

Report 7 Coventry Investment Fund

Recommendations:

Cabinet is requested to recommend that Council:-

- (1) Approve an increase to the delegated authority for the CIF Committee allowing individual grant and loan awards up to a maximum of £5 million
- (2) Where the recommendation to the CIF Committee is to determine an individual grant or loan in excess of £2 million and up to a maximum of £5 million then require an additional two Cabinet Members to be determined by the Leader of the City Council become members of the CIF Committee (making five in total)
- (3) Approve that Cabinet are delegated authority to determine an individual grant or loan in excess of £5 million up to a maximum of £10 million
- (4) Recommendations (1) to (3) will remain in place for a period of 12 months after which the previous and original financial approval thresholds for the CIF Committee will be restored to £1 million for grants and £2 million for loans

The above recommendations were approved.

(NOTE: This matter was considered as urgent business, the reason for urgency being to facilitate proposals and negotiations that take place at short notice due to market requirements that could have a significant impact on the City and thereby protecting the Council's and City's interests.)

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate UNLESS:-

- 1. it falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) ie. it relates to:-
 - (a) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (b) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (c) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority unless it is a key decision
 - (d) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee, the Planning Committee, the Appeals and Appointments Panels and the Audit and Procurement Committee
 - (e) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
- 2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
- 3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
- 4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
- 5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.



Resources Directorate
Council House
Earl Street
COVENTRY CV1 5RR